

Tabular Material: Appendix

The following tables provide a complete description of the answers for each policy question for each jurisdiction. The tables parallel figures in the main body of the report, except for the last one. The last table, table A15, provides the answer to the question: What jurisdiction has the best (worst) policy environment? Jurisdictions are ranked by best “net” response—the number of respondents who rated a jurisdiction “best” minus the number of respondents that rated the same jurisdiction “worst.” The table only includes jurisdictions listed in the survey.

**Table AI: Mineral Potential Assuming Current Regulations and
Land Use Restrictions, 2005**

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	22%	41%	22%	14%	1%
British Columbia	22%	28%	40%	7%	3%
Manitoba	34%	36%	27%	3%	0%
New Brunswick	17%	23%	40%	20%	0%
Nfld./Labrador	17%	39%	34%	7%	2%
Nova Scotia	19%	8%	38%	35%	0%
Nunavut	8%	41%	29%	16%	6%
NWT	14%	33%	33%	14%	5%
Ontario	29%	48%	16%	7%	0%
Quebec	50%	39%	9%	2%	0%
Saskatchewan	27%	45%	24%	4%	0%
Yukon	15%	38%	40%	6%	1%
USA					
Alaska	24%	26%	35%	15%	0%
Arizona	15%	52%	21%	12%	0%
California	6%	3%	10%	39%	42%
Colorado	0%	4%	46%	42%	8%
Idaho	0%	36%	23%	36%	5%
Minnesota	5%	15%	25%	35%	20%
Montana	10%	17%	17%	34%	21%
Nevada	52%	38%	8%	2%	0%
New Mexico	5%	45%	35%	10%	5%
South Dakota	0%	30%	40%	25%	5%
Utah	18%	41%	27%	14%	0%
Washington	0%	19%	19%	43%	19%
Wisconsin	0%	16%	0%	47%	37%
Wyoming	17%	39%	35%	9%	0%
Australia					
New South Wales	22%	39%	35%	4%	0%
Northern Territory	30%	30%	35%	5%	0%
Queensland	15%	50%	30%	5%	0%
South Australia	39%	43%	13%	4%	0%
Tasmania	0%	67%	0%	33%	0%
Victoria	19%	33%	33%	14%	0%
Western Australia	15%	59%	22%	4%	0%

**Table AI: Mineral Potential Assuming Current Regulations and
Land Use Restrictions, 2005**

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	5%	40%	20%	25%	10%
New Zealand	15%	23%	23%	31%	8%
Papua New Guinea	8%	23%	54%	15%	0%
Philippines	6%	41%	18%	35%	0%
Africa					
Botswana	18%	55%	18%	9%	0%
Burkina Faso	21%	50%	29%	0%	0%
D.R.C. (Congo)	0%	25%	25%	8%	42%
Ghana	44%	38%	6%	6%	6%
Mali	14%	71%	0%	7%	7%
South Africa	4%	52%	30%	9%	4%
Tanzania	20%	30%	40%	10%	0%
Zambia	18%	9%	45%	27%	0%
Zimbabwe	6%	6%	0%	13%	75%
Latin America					
Argentina	12%	58%	30%	0%	0%
Bolivia	8%	29%	38%	17%	8%
Brazil	24%	48%	28%	0%	0%
Chile	43%	54%	4%	0%	0%
Ecuador	6%	17%	61%	6%	11%
Mexico	26%	55%	17%	2%	0%
Peru	11%	32%	41%	16%	0%
Venezuela	11%	16%	37%	21%	16%
Eurasia					
China	3%	31%	52%	14%	0%
Finland	17%	44%	33%	6%	0%
India	21%	21%	43%	7%	7%
Ireland	8%	8%	69%	15%	0%
Kazakhstan	19%	38%	25%	19%	0%
Mongolia	28%	61%	6%	6%	0%
Russia	17%	39%	22%	11%	11%
Spain	7%	40%	47%	7%	0%
Sweden	5%	47%	42%	5%	0%
Turkey	18%	47%	29%	6%	0%

Table A2: Policy/Mineral Potential Assuming No land Use Restrictions in Place and Assuming Industry “Best Practices,” 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	26%	18%	35%	20%	1%
British Columbia	63%	30%	7%	0%	0%
Manitoba	37%	39%	24%	0%	0%
New Brunswick	33%	18%	38%	10%	3%
Nfld./Labrador	44%	40%	16%	0%	0%
Nova Scotia	19%	14%	49%	16%	2%
Nunavut	51%	49%	0%	0%	0%
NWT	54%	46%	0%	0%	0%
Ontario	60%	32%	9%	0%	0%
Quebec	69%	24%	5%	1%	0%
Saskatchewan	39%	36%	25%	0%	0%
Yukon	40%	40%	19%	0%	0%
USA					
Alaska	69%	27%	0%	4%	0%
Arizona	75%	9%	16%	0%	0%
California	39%	42%	12%	3%	3%
Colorado	38%	46%	15%	0%	0%
Idaho	29%	54%	17%	0%	0%
Minnesota	32%	40%	24%	0%	4%
Montana	39%	50%	7%	4%	0%
Nevada	72%	28%	0%	0%	0%
New Mexico	38%	38%	23%	0%	0%
South Dakota	18%	41%	36%	5%	0%
Utah	46%	32%	21%	0%	0%
Washington	22%	52%	22%	4%	0%
Wisconsin	23%	27%	36%	9%	5%
Wyoming	37%	30%	30%	4%	0%
Australia					
New South Wales	38%	38%	15%	4%	4%
Northern Territory	62%	33%	5%	0%	0%
Queensland	55%	36%	9%	0%	0%
South Australia	67%	25%	8%	0%	0%
Tasmania	0%	57%	0%	43%	0%
Victoria	27%	36%	36%	0%	0%
Western Australia	82%	15%	3%	0%	0%

Table A2: Policy/Mineral Potential Assuming No land Use Restrictions in Place and Assuming Industry “Best Practices,” 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	74%	26%	0%	0%	0%
New Zealand	14%	38%	43%	5%	0%
Papua New Guinea	68%	32%	0%	0%	0%
Philippines	50%	42%	8%	0%	0%
Africa					
Botswana	45%	50%	5%	0%	0%
Burkina Faso	50%	45%	5%	0%	0%
D.R.C. (Congo)	85%	15%	0%	0%	0%
Ghana	68%	32%	0%	0%	0%
Mali	58%	42%	0%	0%	0%
South Africa	67%	24%	9%	0%	0%
Tanzania	63%	32%	5%	0%	0%
Zambia	54%	42%	4%	0%	0%
Zimbabwe	50%	40%	0%	10%	0%
Latin America					
Argentina	53%	40%	7%	0%	0%
Bolivia	46%	46%	9%	0%	0%
Brazil	74%	21%	6%	0%	0%
Chile	84%	13%	3%	0%	0%
Ecuador	35%	35%	29%	0%	0%
Mexico	52%	43%	5%	0%	0%
Peru	81%	19%	0%	0%	0%
Venezuela	36%	50%	11%	4%	0%
Eurasia					
China	58%	39%	3%	0%	0%
Finland	17%	26%	52%	4%	0%
India	33%	43%	19%	0%	5%
Ireland	6%	39%	39%	11%	6%
Kazakhstan	57%	38%	5%	0%	0%
Mongolia	50%	46%	4%	0%	0%
Russia	79%	21%	0%	0%	0%
Spain	21%	37%	37%	5%	0%
Sweden	17%	38%	38%	4%	4%
Turkey	41%	55%	5%	0%	0%

Table A3: Uncertainty Concerning the Administration, Interpretation and Enforcement of Existing Regulations, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	48%	33%	15%	3%	1%
British Columbia	31%	29%	27%	10%	3%
Manitoba	41%	42%	13%	1%	3%
New Brunswick	15%	44%	33%	4%	4%
Nfld./Labrador	22%	36%	23%	13%	6%
Nova Scotia	7%	37%	39%	11%	6%
Nunavut	14%	35%	29%	17%	6%
NWT	15%	18%	35%	29%	4%
Ontario	27%	50%	14%	6%	3%
Quebec	71%	14%	10%	2%	4%
Saskatchewan	30%	42%	23%	3%	2%
Yukon	25%	46%	25%	2%	1%
USA					
Alaska	21%	46%	20%	9%	4%
Arizona	13%	42%	29%	13%	2%
California	2%	8%	12%	40%	38%
Colorado	3%	17%	31%	26%	23%
Idaho	6%	32%	47%	9%	6%
Minnesota	6%	27%	21%	24%	21%
Montana	6%	6%	24%	16%	47%
Nevada	43%	39%	13%	5%	1%
New Mexico	7%	40%	33%	10%	10%
South Dakota	0%	20%	44%	16%	20%
Utah	26%	32%	35%	3%	3%
Washington	0%	18%	23%	31%	28%
Wisconsin	0%	18%	11%	29%	43%
Wyoming	23%	32%	29%	10%	6%
Australia					
New South Wales	18%	52%	18%	0%	12%
Northern Territory	35%	44%	12%	0%	9%
Queensland	17%	53%	20%	0%	10%
South Australia	36%	42%	12%	0%	9%
Tasmania	0%	92%	0%	8%	0%
Victoria	7%	52%	24%	3%	14%
Western Australia	38%	45%	8%	3%	8%

Table A3: Uncertainty Concerning the Administration, Interpretation and Enforcement of Existing Regulations, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	0%	13%	34%	34%	19%
New Zealand	16%	28%	28%	20%	8%
Papua New Guinea	0%	17%	39%	35%	9%
Philippines	6%	16%	16%	45%	16%
Africa					
Botswana	13%	57%	13%	9%	9%
Burkina Faso	15%	41%	30%	7%	7%
D.R.C. (Congo)	0%	5%	33%	14%	48%
Ghana	23%	48%	19%	6%	3%
Mali	25%	39%	21%	11%	4%
South Africa	15%	17%	46%	22%	0%
Tanzania	17%	26%	48%	4%	4%
Zambia	16%	12%	40%	24%	8%
Zimbabwe	0%	3%	6%	16%	74%
South America					
Argentina	20%	48%	23%	7%	2%
Bolivia	6%	26%	31%	23%	14%
Brazil	13%	56%	23%	5%	3%
Chile	39%	47%	11%	2%	2%
Ecuador	9%	24%	45%	18%	3%
Mexico	27%	62%	8%	0%	4%
Peru	11%	36%	29%	21%	4%
Venezuela	13%	15%	15%	23%	35%
Eurasia					
China	9%	15%	34%	26%	15%
Finland	23%	40%	20%	13%	3%
India	7%	21%	28%	24%	21%
Ireland	0%	46%	38%	13%	4%
Kazakhstan	4%	17%	38%	21%	21%
Mongolia	27%	33%	23%	10%	7%
Russia	3%	3%	28%	38%	28%
Spain	14%	25%	32%	14%	14%
Sweden	6%	42%	32%	13%	6%
Turkey	8%	50%	19%	12%	12%

Table A4: Environmental Regulations, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	29%	44%	21%	5%	1%
British Columbia	8%	31%	46%	13%	2%
Manitoba	31%	43%	21%	1%	4%
New Brunswick	9%	40%	36%	9%	7%
Nfld./Labrador	11%	49%	23%	11%	6%
Nova Scotia	7%	27%	39%	20%	7%
Nunavut	5%	23%	44%	26%	3%
NWT	7%	27%	39%	23%	4%
Ontario	15%	45%	30%	8%	2%
Quebec	35%	48%	12%	3%	3%
Saskatchewan	12%	47%	33%	5%	3%
Yukon	8%	38%	27%	24%	3%
USA					
Alaska	8%	28%	44%	13%	8%
Arizona	5%	37%	41%	12%	5%
California	0%	13%	6%	19%	62%
Colorado	0%	13%	39%	18%	29%
Idaho	0%	19%	42%	19%	19%
Minnesota	0%	19%	29%	19%	32%
Montana	0%	11%	22%	13%	54%
Nevada	21%	44%	27%	5%	3%
New Mexico	4%	36%	32%	18%	11%
South Dakota	4%	22%	39%	13%	22%
Utah	7%	52%	26%	7%	7%
Washington	0%	19%	23%	26%	32%
Wisconsin	0%	16%	16%	20%	48%
Wyoming	3%	43%	33%	7%	13%
Australia					
New South Wales	19%	31%	41%	9%	0%
Northern Territory	18%	46%	32%	4%	0%
Queensland	11%	41%	44%	4%	0%
South Australia	22%	41%	31%	6%	0%
Tasmania	0%	78%	0%	22%	0%
Victoria	7%	34%	38%	14%	7%
Western Australia	12%	47%	38%	3%	0%

Table A4: Environmental Regulations, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	0%	32%	40%	20%	8%
New Zealand	5%	5%	55%	15%	20%
Papua New Guinea	0%	31%	50%	13%	6%
Philippines	0%	19%	48%	24%	10%
Africa					
Botswana	17%	50%	22%	6%	6%
Burkina Faso	11%	53%	16%	5%	16%
D.R.C. (Congo)	11%	33%	33%	6%	17%
Ghana	12%	60%	12%	4%	12%
Mali	9%	55%	23%	0%	14%
South Africa	6%	41%	38%	3%	12%
Tanzania*	24%	35%	18%	6%	18%
Zambia	28%	28%	22%	6%	17%
Zimbabwe	9%	30%	13%	4%	43%
Latin America					
Argentina	2%	62%	24%	9%	2%
Bolivia	3%	45%	38%	7%	7%
Brazil	0%	63%	30%	7%	0%
Chile	20%	50%	23%	5%	3%
Ecuador	8%	38%	33%	8%	13%
Mexico	17%	52%	27%	5%	0%
Peru	4%	60%	16%	13%	7%
Venezuela	8%	36%	32%	16%	8%
Eurasia					
China	14%	38%	35%	8%	5%
Finland	0%	45%	32%	14%	9%
India	0%	40%	35%	10%	15%
Ireland	0%	35%	50%	5%	10%
Kazakhstan	0%	44%	44%	0%	11%
Mongolia	23%	50%	23%	0%	5%
Russia	5%	45%	32%	5%	14%
Spain	6%	11%	67%	6%	11%
Sweden	0%	26%	48%	17%	9%
Turkey	10%	20%	50%	10%	10%

*Fewer than 10 responses

Table A5: Regulatory Duplication and Inconsistency, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	23%	47%	26%	4%	0%
British Columbia	11%	30%	42%	16%	1%
Manitoba	28%	48%	20%	3%	1%
New Brunswick	3%	50%	37%	8%	3%
Nfld./Labrador	7%	53%	27%	9%	4%
Nova Scotia	5%	38%	35%	18%	5%
Nunavut	2%	25%	43%	23%	7%
NWT	2%	27%	29%	35%	8%
Ontario	11%	49%	29%	7%	3%
Quebec	27%	53%	17%	2%	1%
Saskatchewan	7%	48%	37%	6%	2%
Yukon	3%	43%	28%	10%	15%
USA					
Alaska	9%	38%	41%	7%	5%
Arizona	3%	58%	30%	3%	6%
California	0%	21%	8%	21%	50%
Colorado	0%	31%	24%	17%	28%
Idaho	0%	48%	28%	16%	8%
Minnesota	0%	23%	23%	36%	18%
Montana	3%	34%	17%	10%	34%
Nevada	19%	61%	17%	0%	3%
New Mexico	0%	59%	23%	5%	14%
South Dakota	0%	28%	44%	11%	17%
Utah	9%	59%	23%	5%	5%
Washington	0%	29%	17%	25%	29%
Wisconsin	0%	25%	10%	20%	45%
Wyoming	8%	52%	24%	0%	16%
Australia					
New South Wales	14%	43%	32%	4%	7%
Northern Territory	26%	41%	22%	4%	7%
Queensland	11%	48%	30%	4%	7%
South Australia	26%	42%	23%	3%	6%
Tasmania	0%	90%	0%	10%	0%
Victoria	7%	41%	33%	11%	7%
Western Australia	19%	47%	25%	3%	6%

Table A5: Regulatory Duplication and Inconsistency, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	0%	26%	39%	17%	17%
New Zealand	6%	11%	50%	17%	17%
Papua New Guinea	0%	33%	27%	33%	7%
Philippines	0%	22%	39%	26%	13%
Africa					
Botswana	18%	47%	24%	0%	12%
Burkina Faso	5%	57%	29%	0%	10%
D.R.C. (Congo)	5%	32%	16%	21%	26%
Ghana	13%	63%	17%	4%	4%
Mali	5%	63%	26%	0%	5%
South Africa	6%	27%	52%	9%	6%
Tanzania	11%	44%	33%	0%	11%
Zambia	5%	38%	38%	10%	10%
Zimbabwe	4%	15%	19%	15%	46%
Latin America					
Argentina	7%	45%	33%	7%	7%
Bolivia	8%	27%	42%	12%	12%
Brazil	6%	56%	34%	3%	0%
Chile	21%	45%	30%	0%	3%
Ecuador	4%	33%	38%	17%	8%
Mexico	13%	54%	31%	0%	2%
Peru	15%	27%	39%	15%	5%
Venezuela	8%	13%	38%	25%	17%
Eurasia					
China	0%	14%	38%	28%	21%
Finland	5%	55%	18%	14%	9%
India	0%	26%	32%	16%	26%
Ireland	6%	50%	28%	6%	11%
Kazakhstan	0%	35%	41%	12%	12%
Mongolia	5%	64%	18%	5%	9%
Russia	0%	5%	35%	30%	30%
Spain	0%	39%	28%	22%	11%
Sweden	0%	50%	25%	15%	10%
Turkey	0%	39%	44%	11%	6%

Table A6: Taxation Regime, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	38%	47%	12%	3%	0%
British Columbia	21%	49%	20%	9%	1%
Manitoba	30%	41%	21%	3%	5%
New Brunswick	8%	39%	32%	13%	8%
Nfld./Labrador	7%	38%	29%	10%	17%
Nova Scotia	6%	34%	31%	20%	9%
Nunavut	5%	36%	36%	18%	5%
NWT	7%	30%	43%	16%	4%
Ontario	16%	46%	26%	10%	2%
Quebec	43%	27%	21%	7%	2%
Saskatchewan	10%	48%	29%	10%	2%
Yukon	10%	53%	29%	6%	2%
USA					
Alaska	12%	37%	47%	2%	2%
Arizona	8%	62%	23%	8%	0%
California	3%	24%	41%	17%	14%
Colorado	0%	18%	50%	23%	9%
Idaho	0%	45%	36%	14%	5%
Minnesota	5%	10%	40%	35%	10%
Montana	4%	28%	40%	20%	8%
Nevada	31%	58%	5%	4%	2%
New Mexico	5%	40%	30%	20%	5%
South Dakota	0%	18%	53%	18%	12%
Utah	21%	42%	26%	5%	5%
Washington	5%	33%	33%	19%	10%
Wisconsin	0%	22%	33%	33%	11%
Wyoming	14%	24%	38%	19%	5%
Australia					
New South Wales	0%	44%	41%	11%	4%
Northern Territory	0%	50%	38%	8%	4%
Queensland	4%	40%	40%	12%	4%
South Australia	0%	52%	37%	7%	4%
Tasmania	0%	85%	0%	15%	0%
Victoria	0%	50%	35%	12%	4%
Western Australia	0%	52%	37%	7%	4%

Table A6: Taxation Regime, 2005**1: Encourages Investment****2: Not a Deterrent to investment****3: Mild Deterrent****4: Strong Deterrent****5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor**

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	0%	32%	42%	21%	5%
New Zealand	0%	31%	15%	38%	15%
Papua New Guinea	0%	18%	45%	27%	9%
Philippines	11%	28%	28%	28%	6%
Africa					
Botswana	17%	42%	25%	8%	8%
Burkina Faso	15%	38%	31%	8%	8%
D.R.C. (Congo)	0%	20%	60%	10%	10%
Ghana	6%	50%	38%	0%	6%
Mali	0%	46%	38%	8%	8%
South Africa	0%	27%	50%	18%	5%
Tanzania	0%	30%	50%	10%	10%
Zambia	0%	27%	55%	9%	9%
Zimbabwe	0%	14%	21%	7%	57%
Latin America					
Argentina	18%	42%	27%	12%	0%
Bolivia	0%	45%	30%	15%	10%
Brazil	0%	43%	52%	5%	0%
Chile	12%	45%	36%	6%	0%
Ecuador	0%	33%	50%	11%	6%
Mexico	17%	45%	32%	4%	2%
Peru	3%	41%	41%	15%	0%
Venezuela	13%	7%	40%	27%	13%
Eurasia					
China	4%	36%	36%	16%	8%
Finland	0%	47%	24%	24%	6%
India	0%	38%	25%	19%	19%
Ireland	18%	35%	29%	12%	6%
Kazakhstan	0%	40%	20%	13%	27%
Mongolia	6%	61%	11%	17%	6%
Russia	0%	13%	25%	44%	19%
Spain	7%	47%	13%	27%	7%
Sweden	0%	29%	18%	35%	18%
Turkey	6%	50%	25%	13%	6%

Table A7: Uncertainty Concerning Native Land Claims, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	17%	42%	29%	11%	2%
British Columbia	2%	6%	42%	39%	10%
Manitoba	11%	38%	38%	11%	2%
New Brunswick	7%	30%	40%	20%	3%
Nfld./Labrador	8%	18%	38%	28%	8%
Nova Scotia	7%	31%	41%	17%	3%
Nunavut	8%	33%	22%	18%	20%
NWT	6%	8%	39%	34%	13%
Ontario	9%	34%	38%	15%	4%
Quebec	20%	41%	33%	5%	1%
Saskatchewan	8%	39%	39%	12%	2%
Yukon	5%	32%	40%	19%	3%
USA					
Alaska	50%	22%	17%	7%	4%
Arizona	12%	54%	27%	8%	0%
California	15%	44%	26%	11%	4%
Colorado	14%	43%	19%	24%	0%
Idaho	14%	48%	33%	5%	0%
Minnesota	15%	60%	20%	5%	0%
Montana	16%	48%	20%	12%	4%
Nevada	28%	57%	15%	0%	0%
New Mexico	17%	56%	17%	11%	0%
South Dakota	19%	50%	19%	13%	0%
Utah	20%	65%	10%	5%	0%
Washington	10%	52%	14%	19%	5%
Wisconsin	12%	65%	18%	6%	0%
Wyoming	25%	55%	15%	5%	0%
Australia					
New South Wales	0%	52%	22%	17%	9%
Northern Territory	0%	23%	36%	32%	9%
Queensland	0%	23%	41%	27%	9%
South Australia	0%	36%	40%	16%	8%
Tasmania	0%	69%	0%	31%	0%
Victoria	0%	55%	18%	18%	9%
Western Australia	0%	36%	28%	28%	8%

Table A7: Uncertainty Concerning Native Land Claims, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	7%	43%	29%	14%	7%
New Zealand	0%	30%	30%	20%	20%
Papua New Guinea*	0%	14%	29%	29%	29%
Philippines	0%	27%	27%	20%	27%
Africa					
Botswana	15%	46%	31%	8%	0%
Burkina Faso	13%	60%	20%	7%	0%
D.R.C. (Congo)	8%	25%	25%	25%	17%
Ghana	22%	39%	39%	0%	0%
Mali	13%	53%	27%	7%	0%
South Africa	4%	56%	12%	28%	0%
Tanzania	18%	45%	18%	18%	0%
Zambia	8%	50%	8%	33%	0%
Zimbabwe	0%	31%	0%	13%	56%
Latin America					
Argentina	15%	44%	32%	9%	0%
Bolivia	4%	27%	15%	35%	19%
Brazil	17%	25%	42%	17%	0%
Chile	29%	46%	18%	7%	0%
Ecuador	11%	21%	37%	26%	5%
Mexico	21%	53%	21%	6%	0%
Peru	5%	19%	41%	24%	11%
Venezuela	12%	12%	29%	47%	0%
Eurasia					
China	24%	34%	34%	3%	3%
Finland	28%	61%	11%	0%	0%
India	13%	60%	13%	13%	0%
Ireland	43%	43%	14%	0%	0%
Kazakhstan	21%	64%	7%	7%	0%
Mongolia	21%	68%	11%	0%	0%
Russia	13%	63%	13%	13%	0%
Spain	43%	43%	14%	0%	0%
Sweden	33%	44%	11%	11%	0%
Turkey	44%	38%	13%	6%	0%

*Fewer than 10 responses

**Table A8: Uncertainty Over Which Areas Will Be Protected as
Wilderness or Parks, 2005**

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	15%	51%	24%	10%	0%
British Columbia	6%	20%	43%	24%	7%
Manitoba	5%	65%	23%	4%	4%
New Brunswick	6%	39%	35%	16%	3%
Nfld./Labrador	9%	26%	34%	29%	3%
Nova Scotia	7%	27%	40%	23%	3%
Nunavut	4%	32%	34%	26%	4%
NWT	4%	11%	52%	27%	7%
Ontario	6%	34%	40%	16%	4%
Quebec	19%	49%	23%	7%	1%
Saskatchewan	4%	60%	22%	11%	2%
Yukon	3%	31%	42%	19%	5%
USA					
Alaska	13%	27%	40%	13%	7%
Arizona	4%	41%	41%	11%	4%
California	0%	7%	34%	28%	31%
Colorado	0%	14%	43%	19%	24%
Idaho	0%	18%	45%	18%	18%
Minnesota	5%	21%	21%	26%	26%
Montana	4%	15%	42%	15%	23%
Nevada	23%	54%	18%	4%	2%
New Mexico	6%	24%	35%	18%	18%
South Dakota	0%	24%	41%	18%	18%
Utah	11%	47%	16%	11%	16%
Washington	0%	26%	21%	21%	32%
Wisconsin	0%	28%	11%	33%	28%
Wyoming	5%	47%	21%	11%	16%
Australia					
New South Wales	9%	45%	23%	9%	14%
Northern Territory	14%	43%	19%	10%	14%
Queensland	10%	48%	14%	14%	14%
South Australia	13%	43%	26%	4%	13%
Tasmania	0%	80%	0%	20%	0%
Victoria	14%	45%	14%	9%	18%
Western Australia	13%	50%	21%	4%	13%

Table A8: Uncertainty Over Which Areas Will Be Protected as Wilderness or Parks, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	0%	29%	24%	24%	24%
New Zealand	8%	17%	33%	17%	25%
Papua New Guinea	0%	36%	18%	18%	27%
Philippines	7%	47%	7%	13%	27%
Africa					
Botswana	10%	60%	10%	10%	10%
Burkina Faso	17%	42%	25%	8%	8%
D.R.C. (Congo)*	11%	44%	11%	11%	22%
Ghana	13%	33%	33%	7%	13%
Mali	8%	67%	17%	0%	8%
South Africa	5%	35%	35%	20%	5%
Tanzania*	0%	50%	25%	13%	13%
Zambia	20%	40%	10%	20%	10%
Zimbabwe	8%	38%	8%	0%	46%
Latin America					
Argentina	10%	53%	30%	3%	3%
Bolivia	11%	47%	21%	11%	11%
Brazil	16%	26%	42%	16%	0%
Chile	25%	55%	10%	10%	0%
Ecuador	12%	18%	53%	6%	12%
Mexico	16%	63%	16%	2%	2%
Peru	15%	42%	24%	6%	12%
Venezuela	23%	15%	31%	15%	15%
Eurasia					
China	10%	58%	19%	10%	3%
Finland	0%	53%	33%	7%	7%
India	8%	50%	25%	0%	17%
Ireland	8%	46%	31%	8%	8%
Kazakhstan	9%	64%	9%	9%	9%
Mongolia	13%	69%	13%	0%	6%
Russia	13%	56%	19%	0%	13%
Spain	0%	62%	23%	8%	8%
Sweden	0%	63%	19%	6%	13%
Turkey	15%	38%	31%	8%	8%

*Fewer than 10 responses

Table A9: Quality of Infrastructure, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	41%	44%	14%	0%	0%
British Columbia	25%	39%	28%	8%	0%
Manitoba	27%	38%	30%	5%	2%
New Brunswick	24%	48%	24%	0%	3%
Nfld./Labrador	12%	31%	33%	19%	5%
Nova Scotia	15%	59%	15%	9%	3%
Nunavut	2%	6%	26%	59%	7%
NWT	3%	5%	30%	52%	10%
Ontario	35%	42%	19%	1%	2%
Quebec	44%	33%	18%	3%	1%
Saskatchewan	22%	50%	24%	2%	2%
Yukon	3%	13%	52%	28%	3%
USA					
Alaska	6%	15%	60%	17%	2%
Arizona	32%	54%	14%	0%	0%
California	25%	46%	14%	7%	7%
Colorado	13%	57%	17%	9%	4%
Idaho	14%	50%	27%	5%	5%
Minnesota	29%	38%	19%	5%	10%
Montana	29%	42%	17%	4%	8%
Nevada	57%	37%	5%	0%	2%
New Mexico	33%	44%	17%	0%	6%
South Dakota	28%	44%	22%	0%	6%
Utah	29%	57%	10%	0%	5%
Washington	23%	41%	18%	14%	5%
Wisconsin	26%	37%	21%	11%	5%
Wyoming	30%	48%	9%	9%	4%
Australia					
New South Wales	9%	78%	9%	0%	4%
Northern Territory	0%	47%	42%	5%	5%
Queensland	10%	65%	15%	0%	10%
South Australia	4%	63%	21%	8%	4%
Tasmania	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Victoria	32%	45%	14%	5%	5%
Western Australia	9%	61%	26%	0%	4%

Table A9: Quality of Infrastructure, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Eurasia					
Indonesia	0%	11%	56%	28%	6%
New Zealand	0%	62%	8%	23%	8%
Papua New Guinea	0%	0%	27%	64%	9%
Philippines	0%	11%	56%	28%	6%
Africa					
Botswana	0%	58%	17%	17%	8%
Burkina Faso	0%	29%	43%	14%	14%
D.R.C. (Congo)	0%	0%	36%	18%	45%
Ghana	0%	53%	29%	12%	6%
Mali	0%	27%	53%	13%	7%
South Africa	26%	52%	17%	0%	4%
Tanzania	0%	20%	60%	10%	10%
Zambia	0%	17%	50%	25%	8%
Zimbabwe	0%	7%	33%	27%	33%
Latin America					
Argentina	9%	38%	44%	9%	0%
Bolivia	4%	9%	52%	26%	9%
Brazil	8%	38%	38%	17%	0%
Chile	34%	38%	24%	3%	0%
Ecuador	11%	17%	56%	11%	6%
Mexico	20%	45%	30%	2%	4%
Peru	13%	26%	47%	13%	0%
Venezuela	13%	19%	44%	25%	0%
Eurasia					
China	3%	30%	47%	13%	7%
Finland	29%	53%	12%	0%	6%
India	14%	36%	43%	0%	7%
Ireland	27%	53%	7%	7%	7%
Kazakhstan	0%	31%	38%	8%	23%
Mongolia	0%	22%	50%	17%	11%
Russia	0%	13%	44%	31%	13%
Spain	33%	53%	7%	0%	7%
Sweden	39%	50%	6%	0%	6%
Turkey	7%	60%	27%	0%	7%

Table A10: Socioeconomic Agreements, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	27%	52%	18%	3%	0%
British Columbia	13%	45%	34%	8%	0%
Manitoba	18%	63%	18%	0%	2%
New Brunswick	17%	38%	38%	3%	3%
Nfld./Labrador	16%	28%	41%	9%	6%
Nova Scotia	17%	38%	38%	3%	3%
Nunavut	9%	33%	36%	18%	4%
NWT	9%	34%	32%	21%	4%
Ontario	20%	59%	18%	3%	1%
Quebec	34%	48%	14%	3%	1%
Saskatchewan	13%	62%	22%	0%	2%
Yukon	12%	41%	40%	5%	2%
USA					
Alaska	30%	40%	23%	8%	0%
Arizona	16%	68%	11%	5%	0%
California	0%	45%	15%	20%	20%
Colorado	0%	53%	20%	20%	7%
Idaho	0%	81%	13%	0%	6%
Minnesota	7%	40%	27%	13%	13%
Montana	11%	56%	11%	11%	11%
Nevada	31%	65%	2%	0%	2%
New Mexico	15%	54%	15%	0%	15%
South Dakota	0%	58%	25%	8%	8%
Utah	33%	53%	7%	0%	7%
Washington	0%	43%	36%	14%	7%
Wisconsin	0%	38%	8%	38%	15%
Wyoming	19%	63%	13%	0%	6%
Australia					
New South Wales	10%	70%	10%	5%	5%
Northern Territory	12%	71%	6%	6%	6%
Queensland	11%	68%	11%	5%	5%
South Australia	15%	75%	0%	5%	5%
Tasmania	0%	93%	0%	7%	0%
Victoria	11%	68%	11%	5%	5%
Western Australia	10%	80%	0%	5%	5%

Table A10: Socioeconomic Agreements, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	0%	38%	38%	13%	13%
New Zealand	9%	36%	36%	9%	9%
Papua New Guinea*	0%	11%	33%	44%	11%
Philippines	0%	13%	53%	27%	7%
Africa					
Botswana	0%	70%	10%	0%	20%
Burkina Faso	0%	58%	25%	0%	17%
D.R.C. (Congo)	0%	33%	0%	22%	44%
Ghana	0%	60%	20%	0%	20%
Mali	0%	62%	15%	8%	15%
South Africa	0%	18%	64%	9%	9%
Tanzania*	0%	38%	38%	0%	25%
Zambia*	0%	22%	33%	0%	44%
Zimbabwe	0%	23%	15%	0%	62%
Latin America					
Argentina	3%	47%	34%	6%	9%
Bolivia	0%	19%	43%	24%	14%
Brazil	0%	42%	47%	5%	5%
Chile	19%	65%	8%	4%	4%
Ecuador	0%	13%	56%	6%	25%
Mexico	8%	61%	27%	2%	2%
Peru	0%	20%	43%	29%	9%
Venezuela	8%	8%	31%	31%	23%
Eurasia					
China	0%	40%	24%	32%	4%
Finland	31%	46%	15%	0%	8%
India	9%	27%	45%	0%	18%
Ireland	20%	60%	10%	0%	10%
Kazakhstan	9%	27%	36%	18%	9%
Mongolia	0%	73%	13%	7%	7%
Russia	0%	36%	29%	21%	14%
Spain	0%	50%	40%	0%	10%
Sweden	21%	57%	14%	0%	7%
Turkey	8%	58%	25%	0%	8%

*Fewer than 10 responses

Table A1 I: Political Stability

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	84%	15%	1%	0%	0%
British Columbia	34%	34%	25%	5%	2%
Manitoba	53%	37%	9%	1%	0%
New Brunswick	53%	33%	10%	5%	0%
Nfld./Labrador	43%	24%	20%	9%	4%
Nova Scotia	47%	35%	14%	5%	0%
Nunavut	25%	34%	25%	9%	7%
NWT	29%	38%	21%	8%	5%
Ontario	52%	37%	8%	2%	1%
Quebec	44%	29%	22%	6%	0%
Saskatchewan	52%	38%	9%	2%	0%
Yukon	36%	39%	21%	3%	0%
USA					
Alaska	43%	43%	12%	0%	2%
Arizona	57%	25%	11%	4%	4%
California	32%	23%	29%	13%	3%
Colorado	46%	21%	21%	4%	8%
Idaho	50%	33%	8%	8%	0%
Minnesota	48%	30%	9%	13%	0%
Montana	44%	26%	7%	19%	4%
Nevada	65%	33%	0%	0%	2%
New Mexico	57%	26%	13%	0%	4%
South Dakota	52%	24%	19%	0%	5%
Utah	65%	26%	4%	0%	4%
Washington	50%	18%	14%	9%	9%
Wisconsin	48%	14%	24%	5%	10%
Wyoming	56%	28%	8%	4%	4%
Australia					
New South Wales	57%	39%	4%	0%	0%
Northern Territory	58%	38%	4%	0%	0%
Queensland	56%	37%	7%	0%	0%
South Australia	64%	29%	4%	4%	0%
Tasmania	0%	90%	0%	10%	0%
Victoria	56%	36%	8%	0%	0%
Western Australia	63%	30%	4%	4%	0%

Table AI I: Political Stability

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	5%	0%	32%	42%	21%
New Zealand	31%	63%	6%	0%	0%
Papua New Guinea	8%	0%	25%	42%	25%
Philippines	5%	10%	30%	40%	15%
Africa					
Botswana	13%	44%	13%	19%	13%
Burkina Faso	6%	33%	22%	17%	22%
D.R.C. (Congo)	0%	6%	18%	6%	71%
Ghana	14%	43%	19%	10%	14%
Mali	5%	37%	32%	16%	11%
South Africa	0%	23%	50%	20%	7%
Tanzania	0%	29%	50%	7%	14%
Zambia	0%	28%	33%	22%	17%
Zimbabwe	0%	10%	5%	5%	81%
Latin America					
Argentina	5%	37%	37%	21%	0%
Bolivia	0%	3%	24%	45%	27%
Brazil	3%	48%	45%	3%	0%
Chile	34%	55%	8%	3%	0%
Ecuador	4%	14%	54%	21%	7%
Mexico	22%	33%	45%	0%	0%
Peru	2%	19%	30%	36%	13%
Venezuela	7%	7%	18%	32%	36%
Eurasia					
China	13%	24%	34%	24%	5%
Finland	68%	32%	0%	0%	0%
India	22%	44%	22%	0%	11%
Ireland	53%	42%	5%	0%	0%
Kazakhstan	11%	17%	33%	22%	17%
Mongolia	17%	33%	38%	8%	4%
Russia	4%	8%	27%	50%	12%
Spain	30%	65%	5%	0%	0%
Sweden	70%	30%	0%	0%	0%
Turkey	5%	62%	33%	0%	0%

Table A12: Labour Regulations/Employment Agreements, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	29%	57%	10%	3%	0%
British Columbia	9%	35%	47%	9%	0%
Manitoba	9%	71%	19%	2%	0%
New Brunswick	10%	57%	23%	10%	0%
Nfld./Labrador	9%	44%	32%	12%	3%
Nova Scotia	11%	48%	26%	11%	4%
Nunavut	6%	39%	33%	19%	3%
NWT	7%	41%	37%	15%	0%
Ontario	8%	67%	21%	3%	1%
Quebec	18%	55%	20%	4%	3%
Saskatchewan	7%	64%	20%	7%	2%
Yukon	11%	52%	35%	0%	2%
USA					
Alaska	6%	50%	39%	3%	3%
Arizona	0%	85%	15%	0%	0%
California	5%	45%	30%	5%	15%
Colorado	0%	53%	33%	7%	7%
Idaho	0%	69%	23%	0%	8%
Minnesota	0%	43%	43%	0%	14%
Montana	6%	67%	22%	0%	6%
Nevada	28%	65%	5%	0%	2%
New Mexico	0%	58%	33%	0%	8%
South Dakota	0%	55%	27%	9%	9%
Utah	15%	69%	8%	0%	8%
Washington	0%	57%	29%	7%	7%
Wisconsin	0%	55%	27%	9%	9%
Wyoming	20%	53%	20%	0%	7%
Australia					
New South Wales	0%	74%	17%	4%	4%
Northern Territory	0%	79%	11%	5%	5%
Queensland	0%	84%	5%	5%	5%
South Australia	5%	82%	5%	5%	5%
Tasmania	0%	94%	0%	6%	0%
Victoria	0%	75%	15%	5%	5%
Western Australia	0%	82%	9%	5%	5%

Table A12: Labour Regulations/Employment Agreements, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	0%	57%	36%	0%	7%
New Zealand	0%	50%	40%	0%	10%
Papua New Guinea*	0%	33%	44%	11%	11%
Philippines	7%	36%	43%	7%	7%
Africa					
Botswana	17%	50%	25%	0%	8%
Burkina Faso	15%	54%	15%	0%	15%
D.R.C. (Congo)	10%	30%	30%	10%	20%
Ghana	25%	50%	19%	0%	6%
Mali	14%	50%	29%	0%	7%
South Africa	5%	25%	55%	5%	10%
Tanzania	20%	40%	30%	0%	10%
Zambia	9%	36%	18%	9%	27%
Zimbabwe	7%	29%	7%	14%	43%
Latin America					
Argentina	7%	50%	40%	0%	3%
Bolivia	5%	37%	42%	5%	11%
Brazil	6%	39%	50%	6%	0%
Chile	18%	59%	18%	5%	0%
Ecuador	11%	44%	28%	11%	6%
Mexico	9%	56%	33%	0%	2%
Peru	3%	41%	41%	10%	3%
Venezuela	13%	20%	20%	27%	20%
Eurasia					
China	21%	37%	32%	5%	5%
Finland	7%	50%	29%	7%	7%
India	8%	50%	17%	17%	8%
Ireland	0%	25%	58%	8%	8%
Kazakhstan	8%	50%	25%	8%	8%
Mongolia	0%	87%	0%	7%	7%
Russia	0%	54%	15%	15%	15%
Spain	0%	50%	33%	8%	8%
Sweden	0%	47%	20%	20%	13%
Turkey	8%	77%	8%	0%	8%

*Fewer than 10 responses

Table 13: Quality of Geological Database, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	34%	50%	13%	3%	0%
British Columbia	64%	28%	7%	1%	1%
Manitoba	36%	53%	8%	3%	0%
New Brunswick	27%	39%	21%	9%	3%
Nfld./Labrador	40%	28%	18%	10%	5%
Nova Scotia	22%	53%	13%	9%	3%
Nunavut	12%	37%	29%	20%	2%
NWT	18%	49%	21%	9%	4%
Ontario	48%	42%	7%	2%	1%
Quebec	61%	35%	2%	1%	1%
Saskatchewan	36%	49%	11%	2%	2%
Yukon	24%	47%	26%	2%	2%
USA					
Alaska	22%	39%	35%	2%	2%
Arizona	23%	50%	20%	7%	0%
California	8%	31%	35%	12%	15%
Colorado	15%	40%	25%	15%	5%
Idaho	14%	43%	38%	0%	5%
Minnesota	20%	35%	25%	15%	5%
Montana	4%	48%	26%	17%	4%
Nevada	28%	36%	33%	2%	2%
New Mexico	18%	29%	35%	12%	6%
South Dakota	12%	24%	47%	12%	6%
Utah	21%	42%	26%	5%	5%
Washington	10%	35%	30%	20%	5%
Wisconsin	12%	24%	35%	18%	12%
Wyoming	26%	42%	26%	0%	5%
Australia					
New South Wales	41%	52%	0%	0%	7%
Northern Territory	45%	40%	5%	0%	10%
Queensland	30%	61%	0%	0%	9%
South Australia	58%	33%	0%	0%	8%
Tasmania	0%	90%	0%	10%	0%
Victoria	43%	48%	0%	0%	10%
Western Australia	52%	41%	0%	0%	7%

Table 13: Quality of Geological Database, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	0%	19%	38%	44%	0%
New Zealand	8%	75%	8%	0%	8%
Papua New Guinea*	0%	33%	22%	44%	0%
Philippines	0%	25%	56%	19%	0%
Africa					
Botswana	17%	33%	33%	0%	17%
Burkina Faso	0%	21%	50%	14%	14%
D.R.C. (Congo)	0%	9%	36%	27%	27%
Ghana	12%	41%	35%	0%	12%
Mali	7%	33%	40%	7%	13%
South Africa	14%	41%	36%	0%	9%
Tanzania	10%	20%	40%	10%	20%
Zambia	0%	18%	45%	9%	27%
Zimbabwe	0%	14%	29%	7%	50%
Latin America					
Argentina	3%	29%	45%	23%	0%
Bolivia	0%	18%	50%	23%	9%
Brazil	0%	42%	32%	26%	0%
Chile	20%	52%	24%	4%	0%
Ecuador	0%	12%	59%	24%	6%
Mexico	21%	29%	31%	17%	2%
Peru	27%	12%	48%	12%	0%
Venezuela	23%	8%	31%	38%	0%
Eurasia					
China	0%	13%	61%	23%	3%
Finland	39%	39%	17%	0%	6%
India	7%	29%	36%	21%	7%
Ireland	23%	38%	31%	0%	8%
Kazakhstan	7%	36%	36%	14%	7%
Mongolia	6%	25%	31%	31%	6%
Russia	0%	38%	31%	19%	13%
Spain	21%	50%	14%	7%	7%
Sweden	28%	56%	11%	0%	6%
Turkey	7%	53%	27%	7%	7%

*Fewer than 10 responses

Table 14: Security Situation, 2005

1: Encourages Investment

2: Not a Deterrent to investment

3: Mild Deterrent

4: Strong Deterrent

5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor

	1	2	3	4	5
Canada					
Alberta	89%	10%	1%	0%	0%
British Columbia	84%	14%	2%	0%	0%
Manitoba	90%	10%	0%	0%	0%
New Brunswick	84%	14%	2%	0%	0%
Nfld./Labrador	76%	18%	7%	0%	0%
Nova Scotia	84%	14%	2%	0%	0%
Nunavut	83%	12%	2%	3%	0%
NWT	85%	11%	2%	3%	0%
Ontario	82%	16%	2%	0%	0%
Quebec	91%	9%	0%	0%	0%
Saskatchewan	83%	17%	0%	0%	0%
Yukon	84%	16%	0%	0%	0%
USA					
California	63%	31%	6%	0%	0%
Colorado	68%	29%	4%	0%	0%
Idaho	74%	22%	4%	0%	0%
Minnesota	78%	22%	0%	0%	0%
Montana	76%	21%	0%	3%	0%
Nevada	73%	27%	0%	0%	0%
New Mexico	77%	23%	0%	0%	0%
South Dakota	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%
Utah	84%	16%	0%	0%	0%
Washington	72%	28%	0%	0%	0%
Wisconsin	72%	24%	4%	0%	0%
Wyoming	72%	28%	0%	0%	0%
Wisconsin	73%	23%	3%	0%	0%
Wyoming	79%	17%	3%	0%	0%
Australia					
New South Wales	77%	20%	3%	0%	0%
Northern Territory	85%	12%	4%	0%	0%
Queensland	86%	11%	0%	4%	0%
South Australia	83%	13%	3%	0%	0%
Tasmania**	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%
Victoria	85%	11%	4%	0%	0%
Western Australia	86%	11%	4%	0%	0%

Table 14: Security Situation, 2005**1: Encourages Investment****2: Not a Deterrent to investment****3: Mild Deterrent****4: Strong Deterrent****5: Would not pursue investment due to this factor**

	1	2	3	4	5
Oceania					
Indonesia	4%	8%	32%	40%	16%
New Zealand	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%
Papua New Guinea	0%	0%	47%	35%	18%
Philippines	0%	9%	26%	57%	9%
Africa					
Botswana	18%	29%	41%	0%	12%
Burkina Faso	11%	26%	37%	16%	11%
D.R.C. (Congo)	0%	0%	0%	28%	72%
Ghana	14%	45%	18%	9%	14%
Mali	5%	60%	20%	5%	10%
South Africa	3%	28%	41%	21%	7%
Tanzania	0%	47%	27%	20%	7%
Zambia	0%	35%	24%	35%	6%
Zimbabwe	0%	9%	5%	14%	73%
Latin America					
Argentina	22%	47%	22%	9%	0%
Bolivia	3%	27%	30%	30%	9%
Brazil	13%	40%	40%	7%	0%
Chile	54%	33%	13%	0%	0%
Ecuador	6%	16%	55%	13%	10%
Mexico	11%	37%	44%	8%	0%
Peru	4%	16%	32%	44%	4%
Venezuela	3%	10%	39%	23%	26%
Eurasia					
China	36%	22%	39%	0%	3%
Finland	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%
India	23%	36%	23%	14%	5%
Ireland	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%
Kazakhstan	18%	23%	32%	23%	5%
Mongolia	28%	40%	16%	12%	4%
Russia	4%	12%	46%	27%	12%
Spain	41%	45%	14%	0%	0%
Sweden	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%
Turkey	9%	26%	57%	9%	0%

**Fewer than five responses

Table A15: Number of Respondents Indicating a Jurisdiction had the Most/Leaser Favourable Policies Towards Mining

Jurisdiction	Best	Least	Net Favourable	Jurisdiction	Best	Least	Net Favourable
Quebec	24	1	23	New Zealand	0	1	-1
Chile	12	0	12	Nfld./Labrador	1	2	-1
Nevada	10	0	10	Nova Scotia	0	1	-1
Mexico	7	1	6	Queensland	0	1	-1
Manitoba	5	0	5	Sweden	0	1	-1
Ghana	3	0	3	Venezuela	0	1	-1
Alaska	2	0	2	Victoria	0	1	-1
Finland	2	0	2	British Columbia	4	6	-2
Kazakhstan	2	0	2	Indonesia	0	2	-2
Ontario	4	2	2	Nunavut	0	2	-2
Arizona	1	0	1	NWT	0	2	-2
Botswana	1	0	1	South Africa	0	2	-2
Ecuador	1	0	1	Peru	1	4	-3
Mongolia	1	0	1	China	1	5	-4
Philippines	1	0	1	Wisconsin	0	4	-4
Saskatchewan	1	0	1	Russia	0	5	-5
Turkey	1	0	1	Zimbabwe	0	8	-8
Yukon	1	0	1	California	0	10	-10
Burkina Faso	0	1	-1	Montana	0	15	-15
Colorado	0	1	-1				

Note: Table sorted by jurisdiction receiving the highest number of net favourable votes. The table is restricted to jurisdictions in the survey.